RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Restriction was made with respect to the following inventions:

- I. Claims 1-13, drawn to making electromagnetic responsive array,
 classified in class 438, subclass 73;
- II. Claims 14-19, drawn to device responsive to nonelectrical external signals, classified in class 257, subclass 53.
- III. Claims 20-25 [sic], drawn to apparatus using wave energy and electrolytic device, classified in class 204, subclass 194.

Please note that there are only 24 claims in this case. It is thought that "20-25" in Restriction III should read "20-24."

Applicants hereby elect Group III, Claims 20-24, classified in class 204 ("Chemistry: Electrical and Wave Energy"), subclass 194 ("Apparatus").

Please note that dependent Claims 25-37 have been added, dependent on elected Claim 20. (See AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS.)

It is respectfully submitted that Claims 14-37 are all directed to a device or apparatus which warrant examination together without undue burden. Further, the restriction requirement appears to be in error in stating that Group II is product and Group III is process. Group III is not process as evidenced by class 204/194, which is "Apparatus." Thus, it is respectfully requested that Claims 14-37 be examined together, since the restriction requirement as to Claims 20-24 is in error.

By this present submission, Applicants make no admission as to the correctness of the requirement as to Group I, Claims 1-13, which are cancelled. This submission is being made to further prosecution to allowable subject matter.

CONCLUSION

If the Examiner believes that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at (248) 641-1600.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 7 Octobr 2004

Linda M. Deschere Reg. No. 34,811

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. Box 828 Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303 (248) 641-1600

LDES/If-s

G:\ldescher\8540R (GM)\000035\Amendment & Restr Req\Response.doc